alifornia's system of higher education brings significant value to the lives of individual citizens and the state as a whole. It performs an essential role in equipping Californians with the knowledge and skills necessary to meet the challenges of the future.

Drawing from the top 12.5 percent of the state's high school graduates, the University of California (UC) educates approximately 216,000 undergraduate and graduate students in the current year at its ten campuses and is the primary segment authorized to independently award doctoral degrees and professional degrees in law, medicine, dentistry, and veterinary medicine.

Drawing students from the top one-third of the state's high school graduates, as well as transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, the California State University (CSU) provides undergraduate and graduate instruction through the master's degree and independently awards doctoral degrees in education or jointly with UC or private institutions in other fields of study. With its 23 campuses and approximately 450,000 students in the current year, the CSU is the largest, most diverse, and one of the most affordable university systems in the country.

The California Community Colleges (CCC) are publicly supported local education agencies that provide educational, vocational and transfer programs to approximately 2.6 million students in the current year. Constituting the largest system of higher education in the world, the California Community College system is comprised of 72 districts, 109 campuses, and 65 educational centers

The California Student Aid Commission (CSAC) administers state financial aid to students attending all segments of public and private postsecondary education. Working together with EdFund, which is the auxiliary loan guaranty agency that currently operates with oversight by CSAC, the Commission administers federal and state-authorized financial aid, including state-funded grants, work-study programs, and federally guaranteed loans. Pursuant to Chapters 182 and 184, Statutes of 2007, the state is currently engaged in maximizing the value of the state's student loan guarantee program operated by EdFund. It is anticipated that this transaction will generate \$500 million or more in revenue to the state in the current year, without affecting services or costs to students.

The proposed budget was constructed first by computing the workload budget funding level. From the workload budget, adjustments are made to reflect specific policy adjustments and reductions, including budget-balancing reductions. With these adjustments, the Governor's Budget provides close to \$11.7 billion from the General Fund alone for Higher Education in 2008-09. Change Table HED-01 illustrates the major changes proposed to Higher Education spending in the Governor's Budget, inclusive of infrastructure, debt service, and stem cell research.

Change Table HED-01

Higher Education Agency — Changes by Broad Categories

	2007-08			2008-09		
	General	Other	Positions	General	Other	Positions
	Fund	Funds		Fund	Funds	
2007 Budget Act	\$11,979,841	\$26,440,696	120,419.1	\$11,979,841	\$23,994,589	120,419.1
Workload Adjustments						
Revise Property Tax Revenues	_	-4,649	_	-139,818	139,818	_
Stem Cell Local Assistance Grants	_	-740,000	_	_	-635,000	_
Higher Education Compact	_	_	_	427,918	_	_
Cal Grant Adjustments	-19,215	_	_	87,461	_	_
Debt Service Adjustments for Education	-85,306	_	_	97,235	-220	_
Fee Revenue Adjustments	_	8,529	_	-6,154	326,432	_
Extramural and Other Non-State Supported Programs	_	-924,586	_	-	-378,742	_
Enrollment/Caseload/Population	-11,018	_	_	171,413	_	_
Employee Compensation/Retirement	-8,062	293	_	3,557	185	_
Statutory Cost-of-Living Adjustments	_	_	_	310,029	_	_
Expiring Programs or Positions	_	_	_	_	-143	-0.9
One-Time Cost Reductions	_	_	_	-35,970	-57,537	_
Other Workload Adjustments	-13,679	377,316	11,657.8	-235	-754,567	12,337.9
Infrastructure Adjustment	_	101,241	_	_	2,119,431	_
Totals, Workload Adjustments	-\$137,280	-\$1,181,856	11,657.8	\$915,436	\$759,657	12,337.0
Policy Adjustments						
Eliminate New Competitive Cal Grants	_	_	_	-57,387	_	_
Mid-Year Reduction to Community College Apportionments	-40,000	_	_	_	-	_
Reduce SBMA Contributions from 2.5% to 2.2%	-	-	_	-6,269	-	_
UC Transportation Research	_	_	_	_	5,000	_
Totals, Policy Adjustments	-\$40,000	\$0		-\$63,656	\$5,000	_
Total Adjustments	-\$177,280	-\$1,181,856	11,657.8	\$851,780	\$764,657	12,337.0
Budget Prior to Reductions	\$11,802,561	\$25,258,840	132,076.9	\$12,831,621	\$24,759,246	132,756.1
Budget-Balancing Reductions ^{1/}	\$0	\$0	_	-\$1,132,903	\$588,000	_
Governor's Budget	\$11,802,561	\$25,258,840	132,076.9	\$11,698,718	\$25,347,246	132,756.1

^{1/} These dollars and PYs are included in the General Government agency; therefore, not included in each agency's totals in the applicable Summary Schedules.

PROPOSED WORKLOAD BUDGET

The workload budget reflects total funding of \$21.7 billion, including \$15.1 billion General Fund and Proposition 98 sources for all major segments of Higher Education (excluding infrastructure and stem cell research), which reflects an increase of \$1.6 billion (\$1.3 billion General Fund and Proposition 98 sources) above the revised 2007-08 budget. These amounts represent an 8-percent total funding increase, including a 9.2-percent increase in General Fund and

^{*} Dollars in Thousands

Proposition 98 sources above the revised 2007-08 budget. The major workload adjustments for 2008-09 include the following for each segment:

University of California

- \$123.8 million increase (4 percent) for basic budget support consistent with the Compact.
- \$31 million increase (1 percent) for core instructional needs including library material replacements, deferred maintenance and instructional equipment consistent with the Compact.
- \$56.4 million increase (2.5 percent) for enrollment growth consistent with the Compact. This would fund an additional 5,000 state-supported students.
- \$124.8 million increase in fee revenue associated with the Regent's planned 7.4-percent mandatory fee increase for undergraduates, graduates, and professional school students. Fees for certain professional programs will increase between 7 percent and 19 percent. One-third of the revenue generated by the fee increases for undergraduate and professional programs and 45 percent of the revenue generated by the graduate fee increase would be set aside for financial aid.
- \$10 million in one-time funds for costs associated with sustaining UC Merced operations for a total funding level of \$20 million (reduced from the \$24 million in 2007-08 due to an agreement to phase down one-time funds as enrollments at Merced increase).

- \$975,000 increase for the next cohort of 65 students for the PRIME Program, which targets prospective medical doctors for underserved populations.
- \$11 million increase for annuitant health benefits.
- \$970,000 increase for lease purchase payments.

Current Year

• \$13.2 million reduction for lease purchase payments.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

- \$116.8 million increase (4 percent) for basic budget support consistent with the Compact.
- \$29.2 million increase (1 percent) for core instructional needs including library material replacements, deferred maintenance and instructional equipment consistent with the Compact.
- \$70.1 million increase (2.5 percent) for enrollment growth consistent with the Compact. This would fund an additional 8,572 state-supported students.
- \$109.8 million increase in fee revenue associated with the Trustees planned 10-percent mandatory fee increase for undergraduate, graduate, and teacher credential candidates. One-third of the revenue generated by the fee increases would be set aside for financial aid.
- \$124,000 increase (4 percent) for the Capitol Fellows
 Program consistent with the Compact.

- \$8.6 million reduction to continue the decrease in retirement costs in the current year.
- \$4.9 million reduction in lease purchase payments.

Current Year

- \$8.6 million reduction in retirement costs.
- \$6.6 million reduction in lease purchase payments.

California Community Colleges

- \$171.9 million increase (3 percent) for enrollment growth for Apportionments. This would fund more than 35,000 additional full time equivalent (FTE) students. This level exceeds the minimum 1.5-percent change in the adult population, equally weighted between the 19-to-24 and the 25-to-65 age groups, pursuant to the statutory index included in Chapter 631, Statutes of 2006.
- \$291.7 million increase for a cost-of-living increase (4.94-percent COLA) for general-purpose Apportionments.
- \$28.5 million increase for Categorical Program
 enrollment growth and COLA (3 percent and
 4.94 percent, respectively), including Matriculation,
 Disabled Students Programs and Services, Campus
 Childcare Tax Bailout, Apprenticeship, and Extended
 Opportunity Programs and Services.
- \$8.7 million increase to reflect anticipated lease-purchase debt obligations.

- \$6.2 million reduction to reflect increased fee revenue and other workload adjustments.
- \$139.8 million reduction to Apportionments to reflected estimated growth in local property taxes.
- \$374,000 net increase for the Chancellor's Office state operations for standard baseline adjustments and an increase of \$200,000 and two positions for workload associated with nursing and career technical education program local assistance increases resulting from the 2007 budget actions.

Current Year

- \$4.6 million reduction in property tax revenue based on revised estimates.
- \$2.2 million reduction in fee revenue reflecting revised estimates.
- \$1.1 million reduction to reflect revised lease-purchase debt obligations.
- \$93,000 net increase for the Chancellor's Office for miscellaneous baseline adjustments.

STUDENT AID COMMISSION

Budget Year

 \$26.7 million increase over the revised 2007-08 level for anticipated growth in the Cal Grant Program, reflecting anticipated undergraduate fee increases of 7.4 percent and 10 percent at UC and CSU, respectively.

- \$80 million additional increase for Cal Grants as a
 placeholder amount in the event the UC and CSU
 raise fees beyond the segments' anticipated fee levels
 described above. This amount is subject to adjustment
 after the governing boards take final action in context of
 potential reductions to balance the budget.
- \$281,000 net increase in loan assumption payments over the revised 2007-08 level for the Assumption Program of Loans for Education (APLE), National Guard APLE, State Nursing APLE, and Nurses in State Facilities APLE programs.
- Authorization for a total of 8,000 new warrants for the APLE program, 100 new warrants for the State Nursing APLE program, and 100 new warrants for the Nurses in State Facilities APLE program. No new warrants for the National Guard APLE program are proposed.
- A net increase of \$436,000 for state operations including standard baseline and one-time cost adjustments.

 This amount also includes an increase of \$2 million and up to 11 new positions to enable the Commission to replace shared services assuming the sale of EdFund.

 This augmentation is offset by a reduction of 6 positions and approximately \$1 million associated with CSAC's Federal Programs Division which would no longer be necessary under the sale. Both adjustments are subject to adjustment in the spring when more is known about the timing of a sale, the details and actual resource needs.

 \$331,000 in anticipated federal funds is proposed to fund the Cash for College program pursuant to Chapter 741, Statues of 2007.

Current Year

- \$30.2 million in savings in the Cal Grant and APLE programs due to revised projections of need.
- \$157,000 net increase in state operations associated with standard baseline adjustments.
- The budget also assumes a \$500 million reduction in anticipated one-time General Fund revenues from the pending sale, or other arrangement, of the state's Federal Family Education Loan (FFEL) program guaranty agency, EdFund. Chapters 182 and 189, Statutes of 2007, authorized the state to sell or enter into another arrangement that would maximize the value of this asset for the state. At that time, it was estimated that a sale would result in approximately \$1 billion of revenue. Since that time, federal legislation has reduced the primary income streams for guaranty agencies, including the account maintenance fee and the collection/retention fee, that support guarantee functions nationally—thereby reducing the value to prospective purchasers.

HASTINGS COLLEGE OF LAW

- \$425,000 increase (4 percent) for basic budget support consistent with the Compact.
- \$106,000 increase (1 percent) for core instructional needs including library material replacements, deferred

maintenance and instructional equipment consistent with the Compact.

• \$77,000 for annuitant benefit costs.

PROPOSED BUDGET BALANCING REDUCTIONS

Total budget-balancing reductions for the Higher Education segments amount to \$1.1 billion in 2008-09. Of this amount, \$649.4 million is for General Fund programs and \$483.5 million is for Community College Proposition 98 local assistance programs.

Programs exempted from reductions include general obligation bond debt service and lease payments securing lease revenue bonds for all segments, State Teacher's Retirement System contributions for CCC, emergency loan repayments from Compton CCD, mandate deferral amounts for CCC, and the Cal Grant Program.

The major reductions in 2008-09 are described below:

\$331.9 million for the University of California. Of this amount, \$32.3 million is allocated to the Institutional Support program (which includes campus administration and the Office of the President) and the remainder is unallocated to allow the Regents the flexibility to meet the reduction in a way that minimizes impacts to core instructional programs. It is anticipated that the Regents will address this reduction through a combination of fee increases, limitations on enrollment levels, increased efficiency and reductions to other existing programs,

- including research, student services, academic support and public services programs.
- \$312.9 million for the California State University. Of this amount, \$43.2 million is allocated to the Institutional Support program (which includes campus administration and the Chancellor's Office) and the remainder is unallocated to allow the CSU Trustees the maximum flexibility to meet the reduction in a way that minimizes the adverse impacts to core instructional programs. It is anticipated that the Trustees will address this reduction through a combination of fee increases, limitations on enrollment levels, increased efficiency and reductions to other existing programs including student services, academic support, and public services.
- \$2.2 million for the Student Aid Commission. Of this amount, \$1.6 million is allocated to the state operations administrative support budget and \$637,000 is allocated to the California Student Opportunity and Access Program local assistance budget. Also, the number of new APLE warrants is reduced by 10 percent, or 800 awards, for a revised total of 7,200 awards for 2008-09. Policy changes for Cal Grants are addressed in the Other Budget Reductions section below.
- \$1 million for the Community College Chancellor's
 Office state operations administrative support budget.
 This reduction is anticipated to reduce discretionary
 technical assistance service levels to districts for various
 categorical programs.
- \$483.5 million for Community College local assistance.
 Of this amount, \$291.7 million reflects a reduction

to apportionments by eliminating the 4.94-percent workload COLA and \$111.8 million reflects a reduction to enrollment growth. The remaining \$80 million reflects similar reductions to categorical programs. It is anticipated that colleges will respond to the reductions by limiting low-volume course offerings and reducing service levels in various categorically funded programs. It is further proposed that the statutory COLA factor be changed to reflect the Consumer Price Index (CPI-W), consistent with K-12 education. This measure would adjust the COLA to 3.65 percent and better accounts for the underlying cost pressures on school budgets which are primarily wages. This specific change is subsumed within the overall reduction amounts for both apportionments and categorical programs.

- \$1.1 million for the Hastings College of Law. Of this amount, \$252,000 is allocated to the Institutional Support program and the remainder is unallocated to allow the Hastings Board the maximum flexibility to meet the reduction in a way that minimizes adverse impacts to instructional programs. It is anticipated that the Hastings Board may address this reduction through a combination of reductions to public and professional services, student services, and academic support in order to preserve instructional quality and enrollments at or near the current year levels.
- \$223,000 for the California Postsecondary
 Education Commission. It is anticipated that the
 CPEC will meet the reductions through prioritization
 of discretionary studies. Reviews of proposed new

instructional programs and facilities from segments would not be affected.

OTHER BUDGET REDUCTIONS

The proposed Budget reflects a reduction of \$57.4 million resulting from a proposal to eliminate new awards for the Cal Grant Competitive program. Renewal awards would not be affected. This policy change is necessary to help contain the costs of government at this critical time. Federal Pell grants are scheduled to increase substantially over the next few years and will help to mitigate the phase-out of this program for eligible students.

SPECIAL SESSION ISSUES

The Governor has called a Special Session of the Legislature to immediately address the budget and cash shortfall. Included in the Special Session are the following proposals:

- A \$40 million one-time reduction to CCC apportionments.
 The Administration will work with the Chancellor,
 Superintendent, and other parties to identify one-time savings to mitigate this reduction.
- Delay \$200 million in payments scheduled for July 2008 to CCC for the 2007-08 deferral of apportionments until September of 2008. This delay of deferral payments will help reduce the state's cash flow borrowing costs in the budget year and, thus, help avert a temporary cash shortage.

FUNDING BY SEGMENT FOR 2008-09

The Budget, assuming proposed budget balancing reductions and other policy reductions, reflects a 2-percent total funding increase including a 0.7-percent increase in General Fund and Proposition 98 sources compared to the revised 2007-08 budget. See Figure HED-01 for a summary by

Figure HED-01

Higher Education Expenditures General Fund, Lottery Funds, State School Fund, Local Revenues and Student Fees (Dollars in Millions)

Change from

				Change from	
				2007-08	
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09 ^{4/}	Dollar	Percent
University of California 1/					
Total Funds	\$5,115.1	\$5,442.4	\$5,523.7	\$81.3	1.5%
General Fund	3,069.3	3,260.7	3,162.2	-\$98.5	-3.0%
California State University 1/					
Total Funds	4,136.2	4,406.5	4,441.6	\$35.1	0.8%
General Fund	2,808.0	2,970.7	2,873.1	-\$97.6	-3.3%
Community Colleges					
Total Funds	8,668.6	8,979.0	9,125.5	\$146.5	1.6%
General Fund & P982/	6,173.0	6,452.4	6,596.5	\$144.1	2.2%
Student Aid Commission					
Total Funds	833.3	873.3	921.3	\$48.0	5.5%
General Fund	794.8	842.9	890.5	\$47.6	5.6%
Other Higher Education 3/					
Total Funds	325.5	357.3	456.6	\$99.3	27.8%
General Fund	298.0	327.6	422.6	\$95.0	29.0%
•					
Total Funds	\$19,078.7	\$20,058.5	\$20,468.7	\$410.2	2.0%
General Fund	\$13,143.1	\$13,854.3	\$13,944.9	\$90.6	0.7%

¹/For purposes of this table, expenditures for the UC and CSU have been adjusted to include the offsetting general purpose income, but exclude self-supporting functions such as auxiliary enterprises and extramural programs among others. This provides consistency in comparing magnitudes and growth among the various segments of education.

^{2/}For purposes of comparing with UC and CSU General Fund, CCC includes property tax revenue, as a component of the state's obligation under Proposition 98.

^{3/}The Other Higher Education amount includes Hastings College of the Law (HCL), the California Postsecondary Education Commission, and General Obligation Bond Interest and Redemptions for UC, CSU and HCL.

^{4/}Funding reflected in 2008-09 column include budget balancing reductions and other policy reductions. For UC and CSU, total funds may increase pending final fee actions taken by the UC Regents and CSU Trustees.

segment of the budget with proposed budget balancing and other budget reductions.

The Budget for UC includes \$5.5 billion total funding (\$3.2 billion General Fund), which reflects a net increase of \$81.3 million (including a \$98.5 million General Fund reduction) compared to the revised 2007-08 budget.

The Budget for CSU includes \$4.4 billion (\$2.9 billion General Fund), which reflects a net increase of \$35.1 million (including a \$97.6 million General Fund reduction) compared to the revised 2007-08 budget.

The Budget for CCC includes \$9.1 billion in total funding (\$6.6 billion from General Fund and Proposition 98 sources), which reflects an increase of \$146.5 million (\$144.1 million General Fund and Proposition 98 sources) above the revised 2007-08 budget.

The Budget for CSAC includes \$921.3 million (\$890.5 million General Fund) which reflects an increase of \$47.6 million General Fund above the revised 2007-08 budget.

HIGHER EDUCATION STUDENT ENROLLMENT

The overall change in budgeted enrollment to be served in 2008-09 is 25,817 full-time equivalent students (FTES) for a total of 1,770,643 FTES (see Figure HED-02). The enrollment figures for UC and CSU assume the workload budget level. Because the UC and CSU will have discretion in meeting the proposed budget balancing reductions, it is unknown if their workload budget projections will materialize.

Figure HED-02 **Higher Education Full-Time Equivalent Students**

Oh------

				Change from 2007-08		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09 ^{4/}	FTES	Percent	
University of California	213,646	216,255	1/ 221,255	5,000	2.3%	
Undergraduate	(166,614)	(168,424)	(172,069)	(3,645)	2.2%	
Graduate	(33,234)	(34,800)	(35,855)	(1,055)	3.0%	
Health Sciences	(13,798)	(13,031)	(13,331)	(300)	2.3%	
California State University	353,551	356,050	1/ 364,622	8,572	2.4%	
Undergraduate	(300,138)	(302,273)	(309,601)	(7,328)	2.4%	
Graduate/Post-baccalaureate	(53,413)	(53,777)	(55,021)	(1,244)	2.3%	
Community Colleges	1,137,144	1,171,258	2/ 1,183,541	12,283	1.0%	
Hastings	1,264	1,263	1/1,225_	(38)	-3.0%	
Total Students	1,705,605	1,744,826	1,770,643	25,817	1.5%	

Budgeted. UC estimated enrollment is 219,825 and CSU estimates about 366,000 in 2007-08.

STUDENT FEES

UC and CSU Student Fees—When preparing their annual 2008-09 Budgets, the Regents of the UC and the Trustees of the CSU deferred final decisions on student fee increases. The segments have advised the Administration, pursuant to the provisions of the Compact, that undergraduate fee increases are necessary to augment the Compact's basic budget support provisions in order to maintain the quality of core instructional programs. The UC anticipates mandatory fee increases of 7.4 percent for undergraduate, graduate, and professional students, and additional selected professional school fee increases. The CSU anticipates fee increases of 10 percent for undergraduates, credential candidates, and other graduate students. However, as discussed in the

^{2/} Figure reflects DOF projection of budgeted FTES. There is insufficient data to project estimated enrollments.

^{3/} Beginning in 2005-6, CSU graduate students are reflected as taking 12 units per term rather than 15 units, to be consistent with national higher education reporting standards.

^{4/} For the CCC, enrollment figures for 2008-09 reflect budgeted enrollments after budget balancing reductions. For UC, CSU, and HCL, budgeted enrollments reflect the workload budget levels because it is unknown how they will address enrollment in context of budget balancing reductions.

proposed Budget Balancing Reductions section, it is possible that the Regents and Trustees will act to increase fees beyond these levels.

As currently planned by the segments, fees remain very competitive. UC's undergraduate fees would be only 86 percent of the average of comparable national institutions and the CSU's fees would be the lowest and only 53 percent of the average of comparable 4-year comprehensive colleges. Both the UC and the CSU would continue to set aside one-third of the increased fee revenue from undergraduate enrollments for financial aid, consistent with past practices in order to minimize affects on access for low-income students.

Community Colleges Fees—The Governor's Budget proposes no fee increase. Fees for credit courses will remain at \$20 per credit unit. CCC fees remain the lowest in the nation by far and are just 26 percent of the national average. See Figure HED-03 for current and projected fee levels based on the workload budget and comparisons with other public institutions for all higher education segments.

PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS AND OTHER BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS

The 2008-09 Budget proposes a new research initiative in the budget year and continues other important efforts begun in prior years.

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH INITIATIVE

The Budget proposes an increase of \$5 million from the Public Transportation Account to augment UC's multi-campus

Figure HED-03 Higher Education Fees

2007-08 Fee Comparison

Undergraduate Graduate Undergraduate Graduate Teacher Prep.

Lower Division

			Oti	ner Public institutions (2007-06)				
California				Average	Highest	Lowest		
2007-08 Ed/Reg Fee	2007-08 Fee	2008-09 Ed/Reg Fee	2008-09 Fee					
	U	Ca/						
\$6,636	\$7,517	\$7,126	\$8,007	\$9,287	\$11,130	\$6,217		
\$7,440	\$9,775	\$7,986	\$10,321	\$11,623	\$15,747	\$8,289		
	CS	U ^{b/}						
\$2,772	\$3,521	\$3,048	\$3,797	\$7,122	\$10,357	\$4,029		
\$3,414	\$4,163	\$3,756	\$4,505	na	na	na		
\$3 216	\$3,965	\$3.540	\$4 289	na	na	na		

Other Public Institutions (2007-08)

\$2,625 \$5,537 \$1,243

a/ UC's 2007-08 undergraduate education fee of \$6,636 (\$7,440 for graduate students), includes a registration fee of \$786. Total fees include average campus-based fees of \$881 for undergraduates and \$2,335 for graduates. In 2008-09, the registration fee will increase to \$664. Campus-based fees have not yet been determined by UC. UC's 2008-09 education/registration fees reflect an increase of about 7.4 percent. (These fees include a \$60 temporary surcharge to cover income losses associated with a student fee lawsuit.)

\$600

CCCc/

2007-08 Professional School Fee Comparison:

\$600

2007-00 Froiessional School Lee Companson.									
	Other Public Institutions								
UC ^{d/}	Average	Highest	Lowest						
\$26,480	\$32,807	\$38,949	\$25,972						
\$23,655	\$28,228	\$31,305	\$24,755						
\$25,601	\$31,710	\$38,289	\$19,342						
		UC ^{d/} Average \$26,480 \$32,807 \$23,655 \$28,228	UC ^{d/} Other Public \$26,480 \$32,807 \$38,949 \$23,655 \$28,228 \$31,305	UC ^{d/} Other Public Institutions 4verage Highest Lowest \$26,480 \$32,807 \$38,949 \$25,972 \$23,655 \$28,228 \$31,305 \$24,755					

d/ Professional fees reflect the average among campuses.

Institute for Transportation Studies (ITS), for a total of almost \$6 million. This increase will fund ITS' development of integrated land use and transportation models that can measure the impact of actions by local governments on greenhouse gas emissions. This project will be done in continued collaboration with the California Department of Transportation and the Air Resources Board (ARB). Land use and transportation interface is a critical element of the state's efforts towards mitigating climate change and greenhouse gas emissions consistent with Chapter 488, Statutes of 2006 (AB 32). The models and tools developed through the ITS

b/ CSU's total fees in 2007-08 include a campus-based fee of \$749 for all students. CSU's 2008-09 education fees reflect an increase of 10 percent, while the campus-based fee will remain at \$749 for all students.

c/ Comparison data for other states reflect 2006-07 data. 2007-08 fee levels are based on 30 units per year at \$20 per unit.

will inform and assist the ARB's development of a Climate Change Scoping Plan scheduled for 2008 and subsequent implementation of reduction measures.

CONTINUATION OF OTHER HIGHER EDUCATION INITIATIVES

While the proposed 2008-09 budget balancing reductions would impact funding levels for continuing initiatives in 2008-09, progress on these efforts that began during this Administration will continue to be made. Significant ongoing initiatives in Higher Education include the following:

Science and Math Teacher Initiative

This effort provides additional funding to the CSU and UC to address the shortage of high-quality math and science teachers in our public schools. The CSU has committed to doubling its annual production of science and math teacher candidates to a total of approximately 1,500, and the UC has committed to quadruple its production to a total of 1,000 by 2010-11. Since 2005-06, \$7.3 million has been invested in this program. The workload budget includes an ongoing \$2.7 million for CSU for this initiative and \$1.1 million for UC. Assuming proportional reductions are made to these programs, \$3.4 million would remain available for this effort.

Nursing Initiative

This initiative provides additional funding to expand enrollments in all three segments in order to meet the state's clinical nursing shortages. Since 2004-05, \$108 million out of a total of \$130 million statewide has been invested in nursing expansion in the three higher education segments,

beyond normal enrollment growth funding. These funds have been utilized to expand slots for an additional 4,900 FTE students enrolled in Associate Degree, Bachelors Degree and Masters Degree nursing programs. The workload budget includes \$22.2 million ongoing for CCC, \$6.3 million for CSU, and \$1.7 million for UC. The proposed budget balancing reductions will reduce CCC funding for this purpose to \$19.7 million. Assuming proportional reductions are made by the UC and CSU, a total of \$26.9 million would remain available for this effort.

Career Technical Education Initiative

This initiative provides additional funding through the Community College budget to fund partnerships between colleges and public school districts to reinvigorate and expand enrollments in high-quality career preparation programs that will better prepare all students for high-demand, high-paying technical careers while allowing them to smoothly transition to postsecondary education. California is making significant progress in building CTE programs that will provide students with additional options and opportunities and help to meet the evolving demands of California business and industry. The workload budget continues \$58 million in 2008-09 for this purpose—\$20 million in CCC's base funding for SB 70 programs as well as \$38 million in funding appropriated by Chapter 751, Statutes of 2006. The SB 70 funding is reduced to \$17.8 million, resulting in \$55.8 million that will remain available for this effort.

Community College Student Success Initiative

This initiative provides additional resources to help at-risk Community College students persist and succeed in achieving

successful outcomes such as career technical education certificates, transfer readiness and degrees. The workload budget continues the redirection of \$33.1 million in ongoing funds for improving student outcomes that are allocated pursuant to a funding formula and subject to accountability provisions that are under development as specified in Chapter 489, Statutes of 2007. This funding is reduced to \$29.8 million under proposed budget balancing reductions, yet will still allow the colleges to continue progress in improving student outcomes for those students least prepared to undertake college level work.

